

TELEHEALTH RESOURCE CENTERS

The Classic Tale of the Haves and the Have-Nots: Disparities in Telehealth Availability in Rural Hospitals

June 16, 2022



HRSA Funded Telehealth Resource Centers





NETRC UMTRC

MATRC

SETRC

CCHP

Webinar Tips and Notes

- Your phone &/or computer microphone has been muted.
- If we do not reach your question, please contact your regional TRC. There may be delays in response time: <u>https://telehealthresourcecenter.org/contact-us/</u>
- Please fill out the post-webinar survey.
- Closed Captioning is available.
- Please submit your questions using the Q&A function.
- The webinar is being recorded.
- Recordings will be posted to our YouTube Channel:

https://www.youtube.com/c/nctrc



THE CLASSIC TALE OF

the **HAVES** and the **AVE-NOTS**

Disparities in Telehealth Availability in Rural Hospitals



The Rural Telehealth Evaluation Center (RTEC) is funded by the Federal Office of Rural Health Policy (FORHP), the US Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) (Grant # U3GRH4001) to conduct evaluation research on telehealth services, which aligns with Section 711 of the Social Security Act.



Principal Investigator: Saleema A. Karim, PhD

Team: Mick Tilford, PhD; Hari Eswaran, PhD; Corey Hayes, PhD; Leah Dawson, PhD; Cari Bogulski, PhD; Maysam Qadimirabbani,PhD.

Project Leader

RTEC Team Members



Saleema Karim, PhD Associate Professor Virginia Commonwealth University karims2@vcu.edu



Mick Tilford, PhD Professor and Chair UAMS



Hari Eswaran, PhD Professor and RTEC Director UAMS



Corey Hayes, PharmD, PhD Assistant Professor Deputy Director UAMS



Leah Dawson, PhD Research Program Manager UAMS



Rural Telehealth Evaluation Center RTF ECO University of Arkansas for Medical Sciences



Cari Bogulski, PhD Assistant Professor UAMS



Maysam Qadimirabbani, PhD Postdoctoral Fellow UAMS

THE CLASSIC TALE OF

the **HAVES** and the **AVE-NOTS**

Disparities in Telehealth Availability in Rural Hospitals



Defining "HAVES" and "HAVE-NOTS"

Background

Study Motivation

Research Hypothesis & Objective

Research Methods

Next Steps

Results & Conclusions

the haves /hævz/



noun [plural]

Definition of the haves

: people who have a lot of money and possessions : wealthy people

the have-nots /'hav _näts/

noun [plural]

Definition of the have-nots

: people who have little money and few possessions : poor people

HAVES & HAVENOTS











Books & Stories Hollywood Movies

Television Shows Researchers & Policy Makers

Study Background – Rural Hospitals & Telehealth



Rural Hospitals make up >50% of all hospitals in the U.S. – providing essential access to inpatient, outpatient and emergency medical services in rural communities.

CHALLENGES:

- Low reimbursement rates
- Reduced patient volume
- Low profit margins
- Limited access to technology

- Oifficulty recruiting and retaining providers
- Increased reliance on Medicare
 & Medicaid reimbursement

Study Background – Rural Hospitals & Telehealth

Telehealth assists on expanding access and improve quality of **rural healthcare**.



Technology to help with the delivery of clinical healthcare services provided at a distance.

BENEFITS:

- Increase patient volume
- Increase quality of care
- Reduce costs by reducing readmission
- Increase patient access to specialty care
- Improve patient outcomes
- Increase patient revenue & decrease costs

STUDY MOTIVATION



RESEARCH OBJECTIVE*

To determine the association of **telehealth technology** and **financial performance** on rural hospitals.



*FORHP & HRSA funded objective (Grant # U3GRH4001) to conduct evaluation research on telehealth services.

Study Motivation



Research Hypothesis & Objectives



HYPOTHESIS

Telehealth adoption in rural hospitals may be related to financial health, hospital and community characteristics.



OBJECTIVES

This study investigates the relationship between financial, hospital and community factors and telehealth adoption in rural hospitals.



HCRIS (Healthcare Cost Report Information System); AHA (American Hospital Association).



HOSPITAL STUDY SAMPLE



Rural Hospitals* (2009 to 2019: 11 years)

EXCLUSIONS

- Hospitals closed from 2009 to 2021.
- Hospital treatment group.

INCLUSIONS

- Hospitals with 1-year days in period reporting (~365 days).
- Hospital "Haves" & "Have-Nots."

*Rural hospitals were defined as short-term general acute nonfederal facilities with special payment designations, as well as hospitals with no special payment designations located in a nonmetropolitan county or in a subcounty area with a Rural Urban Commuting Area codes of 4 or greater; this is the definition used by the Federal Office of Rural Health Policy as well as other federal programs.









VARIABLES – DEPENDENT VARIABLE



OPERATING MARGIN

Measure of hospital profitability from patient services.



TOTAL MARGIN

Measure of overall hospital profitability from operating and non-operating sources.



VARIABLES – INDEPENDENT CONTROL VARIABLE



Hospital Characteristics

Hospital ownership
 Patient mix (Medicare & Medicaid)
 Hospital size (bed size)



Community Characteristics

- Poverty rate
- Our Contemporate Contemporat
- Per capita income
- Population density
- Hospital concentration

Results & Conclusions



Haves Hospitals

- n=325 hospitals
- Average: 1.49%



Have-Not Hospitals

- n=275 hospitals
- Average: -7.73%



Have-Not Hospitals (n=275) → Have Hospital (n=325)

Results & Conclusions



UNADJUSTED AVERAGE TOTAL MARGIN (%)





HOSPITAL CHARACTERISTICS

Variables	Have Hospitals (n=325 Hospitals)	Have-Not Hospitals (n=275 Hospitals)	P value
Hospital Ownership (%)			
Not-for-profit	1,090 (65.0%)	386 (38.7%)	
For-profit	187 (11.2%)	168 (16.8%)	< 0.001
Government	400 (23.9%)	444 (44.5%)	
Total Beds (\bar{x} , sd)	151.53 (239.1)	67.18 (121.2)	<0.001
% Medicare inpatient days (\bar{x} , sd)	46.25 (14.58)	52.44 (17.4)	<0.001
% Medicaid inpatient days ($ar{x}$, sd)	10.9 (8.9)	10.3 (9.8)	0.121

Have-Not Hospitals = 998 hospital-year observations; Have Hospitals = 1,677 hospital-year observations.



COMMUNITY CHARACTERISTICS

Variables	Have Hospitals (n=325 Hospitals)	Have-Not Hospitals (n=275 Hospitals)	P value
Population density (persons per sq. mile) (\bar{x})	547.3 (5,248.7)	308.4 (2,657.5)	0.181
Percent seniors (>65 years) (\bar{x})	17.5 (3.9)	17.3 (4.3)	0.204
Unemployment Rate (%) ($ar{x}$)	6.4 (3.2)	7.1 (3.2)	< 0.001
Percent <65 years without health insurance (\bar{x})	13.6 (5.4)	16.6 (6.5)	<0.001
Per capita income (\$) ($ar{x}$)	\$41,181.7 (14,135.4)	\$37,952.9 (10,759.2)	< 0.001
Poverty rate (%) (\bar{x})	15.8 (5.5)	16.9 (6.1)	<0.001
Hospital concentration (# of hospitals in the county)	3.1 (7.9)	2.3 (3.5)	<0.001

Have-Not Hospitals = 998 hospital-year observations; Have Hospitals = 1,677 hospital-year observations.



LOGISTIC REGRESSION RESULTS [P(Y=HAVE | X_i)]

Independent Variables	Telehealth Status: Haves Versus Have-Nots		
	Marginal Effects (dy/dx)	Standard Errors	
Hospital characteristics			
Government hospitals ^a	17***	.021	
For-profit hospitals ^a	16***	.029	
Total beds	.00060***	.00010	
Medicare patient mix percentage	0037***	.00070	
Medicaid patient mix percentage	000094	.0011	
Community characteristics			
Population density (persons per square mile) ^b	020*	.0086	
Percent seniors (>65 years)	.0046	.0026	
Unemployment rate (%)	.00073	.0042	
Percent <65 years without health insurance	013***	.0021	
Per capita income (\$) ^b	072	.058	
Poverty rate (%)	0019	.0023	
Hospital concentration (# of hospitals in the county)	.0042*	.0016	



ORDINARY LEAST SQUARES REGRESSION RESULTS

Operating Margin (%)		Margin (%)	Total Margin (%)	
Independent Variables	Marginal Effects	Robust	Marginal Effects	Robust
	(dy/dx)	Standard Errors	(dy/dx)	Standard Errors
Hospital telehealth status (Have) ^a	6.92***	.54	2.18***	.41
Hospital characteristics				
Government hospitals ^b	-4.48***	.56	30	.40
For-profit hospitals ^b	3.39***	.81	.46	.70
Total beds	.0025	.0014	.0033**	.0011
Medicare payer mix percentage	019	.022	058***	.016
Medicaid payer mix percentage	.16***	.032	055**	.024
Community characteristics				
Population density (persons per square mile) ^c	.19	.25	49**	.18
Percent seniors (>65 years)	39***	.079	34***	.063
Unemployment rate (%)	.13	.11	040	.087
Percent <65 years without health insurance	55***	.076	0087	.049
Per capita income (\$) ^c	-5.26**	1.84	2.71*	1.27
Poverty rate (%)	17*	.070	068	.054
Hospital concentration (# of hospitals in the county)	044	.030	040*	.019

Results & Conclusions



VARIATION IN "HAVES" & "HAVE-NOT" RURAL HOSPITALS





CANNOT INFER CAUSALITY – TELEHEALTH ADOPTION & FINANCIAL



.....WHICH CAME FIRST?

PERFORMANCE

Results & Conclusions



STUDY LIMITATIONS



Telehealth Identification

- Annual variation in telehealth definition & creating a dichotomous indicator.
- Hospital variation of telehealth status reporting.
- Missing data.

2 Cost Report Data

- Hospital financial reporting >365 days (i.e., 450 days).
- Outliers in financial data.
- Missing data.

YEAR 2

2

 Urban versus rural hospital differences in telehealth adoption – financial performance, market share, etc.

1

YEAR 1

 Assess the association of telehealth adoption on financial performance of rural hospitals.

NEXT STEPS

YEAR 3 PROPOSED

3

 Telehealth adoption by rural hospitals during COVID-19 pandemic.

[HAVE-NOTS pre-pandemic to HAVES during pandemic].

THANK YOU!

Our Next Webinar

The NCTRC Webinar Series

Occurs 3rd Thursday of every month.

Telehealth Topic: TBA Hosting TRC: Pacific Basin Telehealth Resource Center (PBTRC) Date: July 21, 2022 Times: 11 AM – 12 PM (PT)

*Please check the NCTRC website for more information on the upcoming webinar.



Please Complete Our Survey

Your opinion of this webinar is valuable to us.

Please participate in this brief perception survey (will also open after webinar):

https://www.surveymonkey.com/r/XK7R72F

